

**Name**

Jeff Kreps

**Email****Comment or Message**

I participated in Thursday's meeting. I really had little to offer at the time.

I was not familiar with the BBI details....but now believe more concise info needs to be understood before LOCAC, provides any letter of support.

1). Upon being asked, the LU chair noted that LOCAC will get another review...this is only an indication of general support. The project proponent said no; not part of Coastal Commission permit process. This needs to be understood.

2). Reviewing the 1994 application, a major Concession item was the corner parking lot now proposed to be removed for fire pits was originally for Joint public & motel use.. clearly labeled as so to assist bay/pier Public/visitor access. I don't think the community is aware this changes to parking on 3rd..somewhat obscure to visitors less familiar with the area. There was no discussion regarding public use parking.

3). Do we know if a solar roof...as being proposed...would meet County guidelines in this district?

4) It seems important that local residents be informed to be able to weigh in via direct mailers....such as is done for county impact projects(viewshed impact etc). We only had one individual who stated living near who spoke.

5) Shifting the parking lot to 3rd Street needs a minor circulation review before approval. This Section of road does not have full sidewalks like the front side. We are only now learning that road designations throughout LO are being mis represented. One common theme from the community in the EAP and more recent LOCP draft is multimode use of road space safety is a concern. How is this being addressed.

So bottom line, unless there was a more complete discussion in the LU subcommittee meeting that did not come to light. I recommend that LOCAC better understand the implication of providing a letter. Just recommending a letter to move forward seemed to miss the point.

Thank you