

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
	<p>we can. That's all I've got.</p> <p><u>Questions/Comments from the Council:</u></p> <p><u>Vice Chairperson Margaret Mayfield</u> - I wasn't able to make that meeting. So, did anything else happen other than criticism?</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - Marshall was kind of the host of the meeting. And he provided his notes to me, but they were kind of short, and I wasn't able to attend the meeting, so I don't have too much to report.</p> <p><u>Member Sandra Sarrouf</u> - so who was facilitating the meeting?</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - Marshall.</p> <p><u>Questions/Comments from the Public:</u></p> <p><u>Jamie Wallace</u> - actually, we were at the meeting and Jeremy, who is the husband of the daughter of Bill Lee (voice - Bill Lee's son-in law - laughter), was hosting the meeting, so that's how that went, Marshall was part of it. And there was just an open community forum. There was some constructive ideas that came out of it.</p> <p><u>Member Yael Korin</u> - a couple of plans were turned into both Marshall and to the County. A proposal from the community, a couple of plans.</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - are these plans that were submitted a while back?</p> <p><u>Member Korin</u> - ah, similar, similar, maybe simplified.</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - okay.</p> <p>(Crosstalk.)</p> <p><u>Paul Hershfield</u> - actually, we handed them to the secretary this morning.</p> <p>(Crosstalk.)</p> <p><u>Member Korin</u> - I also handed it to Marshall. So everybody has that one. I also gave them another one that Lisa made.</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - okay, I have the one that Lisa made too.</p> <p><u>Member Korin</u> - good, good, exactly. So both the County and them have the those two, and then there was the other proposal, regarding— ah, utilizing a boat, small boater—(crosstalk) launch—yes boat, small— but not just kayak. So that was also, was proposed (unintelligible).</p> <p><u>Steve Vinson</u> - it was bring us your ideas, was what it was. What do you</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
<p>• Supervisor’s Report</p>	<p>want it to look like.</p> <p><u>Member Korin</u> - that was the constructive ideas then, maybe I did not have any then. The Back Bay Inn did not have any.</p> <p><u>Chairperson Harris</u> - but the next one will be indoors, we don’t have to worry about the weather.</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - it will be inside.</p> <p><u>Supervisor Bruce Gibson</u> - it’s a pleasure to be with you this evening. Not a whole lot on my list that’s Los Osos specific. We did at our meeting on Tuesday approve contracts to resurface a bunch of roads, including South Bay Boulevards over most of its length; from the middle school and across the bridge and on toward Morro Bay. I can’t tell you exactly where it starts and stops, but there it is. The bridge project is still in process, the bridge over Los Osos Creek there does need to be replaced for seismic upgrades. That’s been put into our capital improvement plans. It is, you know, slogging along. It will probably be another three years or so at least, before we see that construction. But I know that they’re working on that. And in terms of other Los Osos projects, they continue to move at various speeds from slow to glacial, or a little bit faster than that, um, the other, you know, the rest of the events of Tuesday, which was, I think pretty close to a record setting day. We met, we adjourned slightly after 9:00, having discussed the upcoming year’s budget; discussed trying to improve voting systems in this county so that folks might have better access; trying to understand how to assess water resources in places that aren’t big basins, like the Los Osos groundwater basin, out in Adelaida for instance, where the water system—water supply comes from rocks, that are very much more complicated. And then we heard a, for quite a long time, an appeal on a cannabis cultivation site, just off Highway 46 off up at York Mountain Road. And the latter one appears to be have resolved. You know cannabis is a fascinating topic right now. We have an ordinance in place that is imperfect. We are embarking on trying to regulate, what I consider to be one of the biggest social changes that has occurred in a generation. And it looks we may have an agreement to proceed on a pretty sizeable, pretty sizeable proposal.</p> <p><u>Unidentified woman</u> - how sizeable?</p> <p><u>Supervisor Gibson</u> - three acres of outdoor growing eventually. And seven greenhouses, totalling somewhere just under 30,000 square feet. You can have up to half an acre under a greenhouse. And you can imagine that a lot of the concerns of the visual aspects of it, which weren’t so much my concerns, but odor, from flowering cannabis, is a big deal. And we’re going to see how that works, but I think we’ve come up with—the applicants—the appellants have put together—to come up with a plan that I think’s gonna work out. So with that, I’m available for your questions.</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
	<p><u>Questions/Comments from the Council:</u></p> <p><u>Member Tim Carstairs</u> - any updates on the crosswalk at Rodman? I know that they put in a sign, that showed like a caution, people crossing the street type of thing and it blew over, fell over.</p> <p><u>Supervisor Gibson</u> - we'll get an update—Blake will get an update from Public Works. I know they wanted to survey the traffic count, a pedestrian count in the area, and they were underway with that. I think they—my impression, Blake may have had a more detailed conversations with them—is they understand the issue there and are working on a solution for it. No specific timeline.</p> <p><u>Member Christine Womack</u> - so I have a question with the cannabis. We were at the CAC training, they brought up that there shouldn't be an odor problem, there's a way to abate that, but that doesn't seem to be people's experience? So I guess I am a little more curious on what the fix was.</p> <p><u>Supervisor Gibson</u> - so, growing indoors, there are technologies for scrubbing the odors out. And, you know again, we don't have a wide experience with that just yet. But there are folks who specialize in doing that. You can imagine in a closed greenhouse system, you know. And I take as an example—I don't know if you all recycle your food scraps into your green waste container, but that's part of the new diversion of stuff from the landfill. Those food scraps go down to San Luis Obispo near the airport, where we have what is called an anaerobic digester, where those things are just thrown in a big tub and left to rot and they produce methane, but they also produce a considerable amount of odor, if you were to stick your head in the thing, which I wouldn't advise because of the methane! (Laughter.) But that's a whole other story! But they scrub that air through a wood-chip filter and it seems to work really well. So, the odor issues of growing outdoors really can only be mitigated by distance, and topography, and air mixing. I think we have it figured out where the smell should dissipate to a point where it's not a nuisance. The standard is, you can't produce nuisance odors outside the property. Now that's obviously got a quantitative standard. And we have work to do to figure out how we regulate that. We're just going to have to have some experience with that I think.</p> <p><u>Questions/Comments from the Public:</u></p> <p><u>Tony Salome</u> - do you what's going on at 11th there, by Sylvester's? The street is all marked; the utility companies have all been in there. It's where the drainage—it floods out there all the time. It's been flooded ever since its been raining and now all the utility companies have gone in there and they've marked Santa Ynez and 11th Street. So, they're going to be doing some digging in there.</p> <p><u>Member Jan Harper</u> - you can call Public Works—781-5252, and ask them.</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
	<p><u>Tony Salome</u> - Pandora asked Dave Flynn.</p> <p><u>Member Harper</u> - if they get a lot of calls, they're gonna realize that people are concerned about it—same thing with the Rodman. You can alert them. That's 781-5252.</p> <p>(Crosstalk.)</p> <p><u>Linde Owen</u> - Bruce I wondered if you could give us—today there was the Resource Study Management Group. I don't think too much changed with Los Osos, but an update would be nice. I know our waste-water went to Level Severity Zero. We don't have a problem since now we have a sewer. And I also wanted to bring up—I don't know, this flew past my radar, but we're looking at three acres, ten thousand, several ten thousand hoop house greenhouses of cannabis that are going to go right on Los Osos Valley Road past Foothill a ways. I think we completely didn't think about odor, light at night, and the ugliness of a bunch of hoop houses right on the road, blocking the scenery and the hills. Anyway, I'm going to bring that up and maybe we'll be able to re-look that and (unintelligible) a little bit, but it kind of—the odor is definitely one and the light, the night lighting that goes on with most of these growth areas.</p> <p><u>Supervisor Gibson</u> - well, not being familiar with that project, I don't have any comment. It will go through a public hearing and those things will be considered. And as to the other, I have not seen the Resource Management System Report until it gets out of Planning Commission and it gets in a staff report, I really won't look at it, but you know, in terms of the water supply, the one resource that's of considerable concern, the water supply of Los Osos, and we have the Basin Management Committee with a plan that seems to be working. We're seeing considerable drops in chloride levels suggesting seawater intrusion is being mitigated. And we're just going to, you know, have to continue with projects and procedures that are going to keep it in balance. And so, we know pretty much where we are and how we're doing. Considering a variety of ways in which we can do it better, and that'll just take time to do. At this point, I really don't have a definitive statement on that.</p> <p><u>Jeff Kreps</u> - a question on re-purposing the septic tanks. There was supposed to be some sort of rebate to help offset that. And the best that I could figure, is that everybody keeps pointing to a different group as to who's responsible. Does anybody know where that is? (Unintelligible) I can just tell that people are going to forget about it.</p> <p><u>Supervisor Gibson</u> - well, we do have a rebate program in place that's connected to the wastewater project, and really, the rebate, if I remember correctly, and what I'd have you do is call the office and Blake can track down a specific question for you. But simply cleaning and closing the tank doesn't do anything for the water supply. But converting</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
<p>• LOCSD Report</p>	<p>that tank into rainwater catchment or a reservoir that could be used to water landscape would offset. So there is some rebate for installing the equipment that actually creates a water source there that would offset potable water coming through the meter. So, exactly, I just don't have it at the top of my head right now.</p> <p><u>LOCSD Member/LOCAC Treasurer Christine Womack</u> - we finalized our audit. We had a clean audit. I'm going to do 'em out of order. We're starting a—we're doing a water conservation campaign. We're beginning that again. We are—we've gotten art from the kids at the elementary schools. And we'll have a—we're having a contest and the winner will be announced at the Business Expo, March 14 at the South Bay Community Center. Videos will be a little later—that's the junior high school, they had something else going on—we'll still do them, but we just won't have them until June. We approved the last minutes. We, I believe, approved board by-laws. There's a free library donated by Tom Wright, located in front of the fire station, so that new. We finalized our adoption of the weed abatement ordinance. The fire department wants to keep our fire hazards low and the way it currently was, is we'd send a notice. But people could ignore that notice for a year if they wanted, and in the mean time, it's still fire season. So we've changed it, that if owners fail to comply, the fire department can go and abate the weeds and then we'll send you a bill.</p> <p><u>Unidentified woman</u> - what's the date? They usually have a day of June 1st or something that you need to get rid of the weeds on your own property. Do you have a drop dead date?</p> <p><u>LOCSD Member/LOCAC Treasurer Womack</u> - well, you get a notice and you probably have 30 days, so, it's be—I don't have a specific date—</p> <p><u>Member Harper</u> - call the fire station if you want specifics.</p> <p><u>LOCSD Member/LOCAC Treasurer Womack</u> - if you don't get a notice—it's only people who have out-of-control—(laughter)—it's only to reduce our risk of fires. Our building—the county is making us comply with ire sprinklers even though it's a non-public building, so it's going to cost us thirty grand. It's a storage building for the CSD, it's the 8th Street well. It's not something that's accessed by the public. The county is making have an extra parking space—handicapped parking. Fire says we don't need it, but anyway... Let's see, Bayridge Estates, we are decommissioning the septic tanks. The costs are substantially lower, I think they're finding people closer to take it, so with less travel the costs go way down. So I think Bakersfield will take the product. And we don't anticipate that we need to raise taxes, the current taxes should cover it, so that's good news. And then the final project, which I've been working on, since I'm on the ad hoc committee to look into bond refinancing, ah, we sold our bonds. We will be refunding the existing bonds on March 4th. And we will be saving \$2,003,020. And I wrote an op-ed in the Estero Bay News if anyone wants one (she has copies), because I</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
	<p>thought Los Osos needs good news, we need to know the good news. And there was kind of a bad news piece in a different paper, and it made me mad, so I wrote this! Most properties have the same assessment, so I went and looked at mine, and I think most properties will save \$24 a year until 2033. Some properties have much bigger assessments, but those are like few in number. I think we're going to have something at the CSD if you want to look up your personal property with your APN number, we can tell you what your savings are, and hopefully you're not coming after that to pay for that lawsuit (to Bruce Gibson). You're not supposed to listen! (Laughter.) But anyway, we shouldn't be paying money on interest expense if we don't have to. And, it's a substantial savings. I'm very pleased to report that. (Applause.) (Crosstalk.) And that's my report.</p> <p><u>Questions/Comments from the Council: none</u></p> <p><u>Questions/Comments from the Public:</u></p> <p><u>Jeff Kreps</u> - it's kind of a related question; on your weed abatement, who's responsible for the dirt street areas? If there's a lot of dead foliage. I talked to Public Works, they say "I can't go off the paved street," and yet, there's a lot of—I don't know if it's a lot of areas, but there are certain ones. You know, there's some canopy, and a lot of dead tree stuff down, and everybody says, "it's not mine."</p> <p><u>LOCSD Member/LOCAC Treasurer Womack</u> - yeah, I don't know. Is it owned by someone? I mean I can think of some places in my mind that I walk around, right?</p> <p><u>Jeff Kreps</u> - it's the public—it's the county. I mean, they are not maintaining it as a road. But it's still their property I think. As best I know.</p> <p><u>LOCSD Member/LOCAC Treasurer Womack</u> - I don't know the answer, but that's a good question. So like the beach access roads or the—</p> <p><u>Jeff Kreps</u> - yeah, that would be an example. I'm thinking of Pismo near 4th. There's a nice canopy there, and I wouldn't want to destroy the canopy, but boy, there's a lot of dead stuff under it. People smoke going along there. It won't take much.</p> <p><u>LOCSD Member/LOCAC Treasurer Womack</u> - I don't know the answer, I guess I could ask.</p> <p><u>Jeff Kreps</u> - I mean I don't know who to ask, because Public Works says, "I only deal with paved streets." They aren't even permitted to go—</p> <p><u>LOCSD Member/LOCAC Treasurer Womack</u> - I might ask FIRE. CAL FIRE comes to the CSD meetings, that's probably who I'd ask.</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
	<p><u>Jeff Kreps</u> - I would think it would be a county responsibility, but I don't know who in the county who says it's mine.</p> <p><u>Supervisor Gibson</u> - well, if fire hazard is your concern, I'd start with your local fire department. If there's other questions of concern—safety issues—give us a call with a specific location. We can find out what the ownership is, whether it's private, road right-of-way, or—you know, there's all sort of different nuance to that, as to who winds up being responsible. It really helps to have a specific spot in mind.</p> <p>(Crosstalk.)</p> <p><u>Jeff Kreps</u> - but it ought to replicate to a lot of other ones.</p> <p><u>LOCSD Member/LOCAC Treasurer Womack</u> - I would ask our fire what they think.</p> <p>(Crosstalk.)</p> <p><u>Linde Owen</u> - I just wondered if Christine—I'm just pretty sure, but—to clarify that the bond that we're paying is for the Tri-W property, correct? Maintenance and repair?</p> <p><u>LOCSD Member/LOCAC Treasurer Womack</u> - no, the bond that we are refinancing that you are saying? No, this was to put in the sewer. I think it's the original sewer, we're still responsible for the debt, and so it's the debt on the original sewer, we refinanced.</p> <p><u>Linde Owen</u> - that's exactly what I just asked, is that was on Tri-W. Which we got nothing out of except—</p> <p><u>LOCSD Member/LOCAC Treasurer Womack</u> - yes, well, we can pay \$2 million less for that nothing. I mean, we're still paying it. It's still worthwhile.</p> <p><u>Linde Owen</u> - I just wanted to clarify that that's what we're buying, is the middle of town.</p> <p><u>LOCSD Member/LOCAC Treasurer Womack</u> - yes, it is the original bonds that ended up being stopped. That's it.</p> <p><u>Linde Owen</u> - we pay for that—</p> <p><u>LOCSD Member/LOCAC Treasurer Womack</u> - it's still on our tax rolls.</p> <p><u>Linde Owen</u> - yeah, okay. I just want people to be aware. Nothing is free.</p> <p><u>Vita Miller</u> - I was just going to mention for people who have questions about fire. There is a local meeting here, and we should be meeting our</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
<p>• LO/BP Chamber Report</p>	<p>new fire chief this month, or I should say in the month of March. March the 11th. It's the Fire Safe Focus Group. It's on the second Monday, at 10:00 a.m.. It's at the same meeting room that the CSD meets, over there by the CSD office if you know where that is. That's at 10th (verbal correction made—9th Street). So 10:00 a.m., if you are able to come, on the 11th of March, and we always have representatives of Cal Fire there. Frequently we have a lot of other people there, and Blake was there, hopefully he'll be coming to represent Supervisor Gibson. And you can ask questions, anything you want from (unintelligible).</p> <p><u>Steve Vinson</u> - before we get—I just want to make a comment about the passing of Steve Best. Steve was know to this body and the CSD and a lot of other bodies. He passed recently and I just wanted to mention him. He was very passionate about a lot of things as we all know. And I got to know him the last couple years, I had lunch with him a few times. And recently I told him the story about the first time I ever laid eyes on him. We were over at the South Bay Community Center at this meeting. There wasn't that many people there. We were all in a big—no, it was just like this (referencing the room set up). All I could hear from far away was (makes huffing sounds), and it just got louder and louder and louder. It was of course Cooder, his dog that he took everywhere with him. And so I'm sittin' down, and he comes and he stands right next to me. And I look up, and he's got the crook (his staff he always walked with), and I look up, and there's that bag of Cooder's poo—(laughter)—like right here (he shows where), you know? And then he looks down at me and he goes (makes a face)—okay, I just wanted to share that with you! (Laughter.)</p> <p>We'll talk about the business expo, it's coming up. We've been having it on a Friday night, so this year, through some feedback, we're going to have it on Thursday night, which is going to be March the 14th, 5:30 to 7:00 p.m. at the South Bay Community Center.</p> <p>And the only other thing I have is the—we're sponsoring a travel presentation at Trinity United Methodist Church. We're sponsoring a trip in October to Ireland. The trip's October 15th, and so on March 12, at Trinity United Methodist Church from 12 noon to 1:30 p.m. there'll be a presentation about that trip in October.</p> <p><u>Chairperson Harris</u> - so is the Chamber paying for anybody that wants to go to Ireland? (Laughter.) (Crosstalk.)</p> <p><u>Member Jim Stanfill (Chamber Treasurer)</u> - we're not paying for anybody! We're encouraging everybody to go, but we're not paying (laughter).</p> <p><u>Questions/Comments from the Public:</u></p> <p><u>Vita Miller</u> - may I just make a comment about Steve? Christine posted, his wife posted on Nextdoor, and I have talked to her. There will be a memorial service on March 3rd, that's a Sunday, I believe it's at 11:00, it's at the little schoolhouse. So a memorial service for Steve Best.</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
<p>LOCAC BUSINESS ITEMS/ COMMITTEE REPORTS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approval of minutes • Treasurer’s Report 	<p>A motion was made and seconded to approve the Minutes. LOCAC voted in favor - 11, opposed - 0, abstentions - 0. (11-0-0)</p> <p><u>Treasurer Womack</u> - we have money. The check I wrote for this room hasn’t—just the way it goes—we get the statement from—like right after this meeting, so when I write checks, we won’t see it ‘till the next meeting. But we should have \$1,604.25, so but we still need to pay for the meeting rooms here to do (unintelligible).</p> <p>(Crosstalk.)</p> <p><u>Treasurer Womack</u> - yeah, I’m going to talk to somebody about that.</p> <p><u>Chairperson Harris</u> - so we’re flush?</p> <p><u>Treasurer Womack</u> - - don’t say that! It goes in rent. But we can make it through the rest of the year.</p> <p><u>Chairperson Harris</u> - well, thanks to whoever supplies us with money!</p> <p>(We point to Supervisor Gibson.)</p> <p><u>Questions/Comments from the Public:</u></p> <p><u>Linde Owen</u> - just to clarify, we started out with \$2500?</p> <p><u>Treasurer Womack</u> - no, two thousand. But we were—I hadn’t paid the Community Center. So, I had some bills to pay, that were— that they were waiting.</p> <p><u>Linde Owen</u> - just for transparency, I would like to know, I prefer it at the Community Center, cause I think its more central for most people to try to get to it, but...and I’m also, I’m concerned that there’s a bit of a conflict of interest here with a whole bunch of new rooms and buildings going in here in the near future—a request to put horse riding, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah. I just—I would like to know what the difference in cost is and make sure that everybody’s aware that it’s a huge cost saving that makes us come here, because I do think it has a conflict of interest problem. Thank you.</p> <p><u>Chairperson Harris</u> - I can tell you that —it’s \$20 versus \$100.</p> <p><u>Linde Owen</u> - well, nobody said that—that’s transparency.</p> <p><u>Treasurer Womack</u> - well, it’s actually—I think we pay per meeting room, so I think our savings are going to be like—because I think it’s going to be four meetings, right? We pay for the meeting room?</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
<p>• Land Use Committee</p>	<p><u>Chairperson Harris</u> - if they all move over. But just LOCAC alone, we were paying \$100 a month at the Community Center. We got the whole year here for a little under \$300, \$260 for the whole year.</p> <p><u>Treasurer Womack</u> - yeah, but once if we move the—cause I think we get the use of the small meeting room because we use it, so I think we should probably move them all over here if we can. And so then our savings—it still is less to be over here, just not that much less. Because we're paying for four meetings instead of one. We're already paying for the Land Use to be over here, so it's just moving the Landscape Committee, and Traffic, which I haven't— I have a meeting tomorrow, so I'll tell you how that goes. We can keep the same date.</p> <p><u>Chairperson Harris</u> - if they get their remodeled project underway this year, I'm not sure what the timeline is, but if they do, and it becomes intolerable, we can always move back. It's not etched in stone, so....</p> <p><u>Linde Owen</u> - I just wondered if there were negotiations with the Community Center about something—reducing it or not—</p> <p>(Crosstalk.)</p> <p><u>Member Korin</u> - it's reasonable to want to support the Community Center, I understand, but they have to support us too.</p> <p>(Crosstalk.)</p> <p><u>Member Korin</u> - maybe we can negotiate with them.</p> <p><u>Member Harper</u> - good luck Christine, thank you for calling South Bay Community Center, that would be helpful.</p> <p><u>Vice Chairperson Margaret Mayfield/Land Use Chairperson</u> - I actually had to miss last month's meeting, but we did have just a couple of Consent Agenda items for tonight. One is the remodeling of the existing office building on Los Osos Valley Road for the new ale house: The same owners that had the ale house on 3rd Street in Baywood. So they found a new location on Los Osos Valley Road. And the committee saw no issues with that. And then another permit request for a Vacation Rental at 3rd Street, which based on our guidelines, did not meet our guidelines so that has also been placed on our consent agenda for recommending denial.</p> <p><u>Questions/Comments from the Public:</u></p> <p><u>Linde Owen</u> - I'm on the Land Use Committee, but I thought we did have some issues with the ale house which was sound, because they're going to have outdoor music. We were also concerned about the ESHA being destroyed.</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
<p>• Traffic & Circulation</p>	<p><u>Vice Chairperson Mayfield/Land Use Chairperson</u> - the discussion is in the meeting minutes, people did raise a few concerns, but they addressed them apparently. Larry, maybe—you were there.</p> <p><u>Member Larry Bender</u> - yeah, and the concerns are being passed on.</p> <p>(Crosstalk.)</p> <p><u>Member Larry Bender</u> - the property in the back—there was some concerns about the snails and stuff like that.</p> <p><u>Linde Owen</u> - there's not enough parking.</p> <p><u>Chairperson Harris</u> - the snails would be bothered by loud music? (Laughter.)</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - the area that they are using in the back is where their septic system was.</p> <p><u>Member Bender</u> - it was the hillside behind it that was—</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - they are not touching that.</p> <p><u>Member Larry Bender</u> - but that's where the concern was, to not do anything there.</p> <p><u>Neil Pulido</u> - what was the density issue on that short term rental?</p> <p><u>Vice Chairperson Mayfield/Land Use Chairperson</u> - there are other Vacation Rentals within the 500 foot radius that we had stipulated (unintelligible). I think there are two others.</p> <p>(Crosstalk.)</p> <p><u>Neil Pulido</u> - is that the property on Santa Ysabel? Different one? That's on 3rd Street.</p> <p><u>Member Bender</u> - 3rd Street.</p> <p><u>Chairperson Jan Harper</u> - okay, what we're looking at is the Circulation Study. We've gone through items 1 through 30. We're going to go through items 31 through 45. What we're trying to do is identify priorities. And within that group the priorities, we want to look at again, and at the end of looking at the whole Circulation Study, then we'll look at the priorities and prioritize them, and then also those that have fallen out, prioritize them. So, if you're concerned about roads, walkways, whatever, in Los Osos, Traffic & Circulation meets on the third Monday at 5:30. And I think that a good education would be to look at the Circulation chapter in the County Plan, because that gives guidelines that may help and assist people when they're looking at the different</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tree & Landscape Committee 	<p>priorities. And making a decision. And you know, the bottom line is, all of this will come back to LOCAC for discussion too, because we'll make a recommendation. If you're concerned about it, you've gotta show up and participate. That's what we'd appreciate. Third Monday, 5:30, currently it's South Bay Community Center, and the agenda is posted on our website.</p> <p><u>Questions/Comments from the Public:</u></p> <p><u>Linde Owen</u> - I just wanted to (unintelligible) Jan runs a great meeting and it's fascinating to look at the layout of Los Osos and see what things have been done and priorities that we're moving down here, and moving more important things up. So if you like traffic and circulation stuff, don't miss that meeting.</p> <p><u>Tree & Landscape Committee Chair Tim Carstairs</u> - trees! So I'd like to say, I've only chaired it two times now, but Tony has done a whole bunch of work, and Paul, on one of the Consent Agenda items, is the Community Plan update, which involves (unintelligible). You pulled together a lot of work; of different cities and what they have in their community plans in terms of tree planting and stuff like that. And Tony has put that together; we unanimously approved having it go to the Consent Agenda. It wasn't posted on here. The only other thing would be the Greening Los Osos event that Tony put together. It's set for Saturday, April 27th. It's going to be in conjunction with the Concerts in the Green here, and I don't know if you want to say something about it. You did a lot of work on it, so I mean if there's any questions you guys have about this event, Tony would be the one to talk to you about it. But it's gonna be—there's going to be brochures on sample trees that are native to the area, soil, planting tips, and I think it's going to be between 2:00 and 6:00. But if you guys want to come to the tree meeting, it's the second Monday of every month at 6:30. It's at the Community Center for now until we— (unintelligible).</p> <p><u>Treasurer Womack</u> - I'll see if we can get it March (unintelligible).</p> <p><u>Member Korin</u> - it's a very active sub-committee and I think the event will coincide with Earth Day?</p> <p><u>Tony Salome</u> - yes, well, it's Earth Week. Earth Day is actually the week before, but that happens to be Easter Sunday is like the 20th, and I think Earth Day is the 21st, so we're doing it on the 27th here at Sea Pines, because if you've been to the Barefoot Concerts on the Green, they get a lot of people here. And we're— Paul designed an incredible pamphlet that we're going to be passing out to the community as soon as we figure out how to pay for it. We're going to make it available to everyone in the community, it's a great pamphlet about trees; it talks about the kind of trees that you can plant in Los Osos that will actually grow, the kinds of soil, some County regulations, why you should plant trees, and at the event, as Tim said. We're going to have activities for kids, we're going to be planting acorns, we're going to have handouts, little coloring sheets.</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
	<p>We're going to do a tree planting demonstration. Gary, who owns Sea Pines is really tree-oriented, he's planted a lot of trees around and we're going to plant a tree here and do a demonstration. So it's going to be a good event and we hope that we'll have a big response and it'll be the launch of our tree program. And we're going to continue to work with the Tree & Landscape Committee on other events, education projects, and also work with the County, trying to come up with an active tree preservation program.</p> <p><u>Chairperson Harris</u> - speaking of trees, we had—something came up at a couple of meetings or at our last meeting about the cypress trees down along 2nd Avenue. I did see somebody actually trimming a week or so—is that a result of your actions?</p> <p><u>Tony Salome</u> - I'm not accepting any responsibility.</p> <p>(Laughter.)</p> <p><u>Tony Salome</u> - the trees look great, they're not going to fall over in the next wind, and you can walk under them, that big pine tree.</p> <p><u>Chairperson Harris</u> - so they're not in any immediate danger anymore.</p> <p>(Crosstalk.)</p> <p><u>Jeff Kreps</u> - (to Planner Brown) are you accepting more input for the Community Plan? I'm hearing we're now—</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - yup.</p> <p><u>Jeff Kreps</u> - so it's not frozen now until the public—I thought we were in a period where it had to be stopped as a specific document until—</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - we're still taking comments on the Community Plan. We—if—</p> <p><u>Jeff Kreps</u> - you're not changing the document though?</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - we will be changing the document once we release the Draft Environmental Impact Report, and we release that for comments, we will have to revise the Plan to incorporate—</p> <p><u>Jeff Kreps</u> - and the public will be able to come back to another (Brown - yes) public (Brown - yes) and that's when you'll revise it I guess (Brown - exactly), so that's what I thought. So this won't actually get into the revision for people to be seeing, that's what I'm trying to understand.</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - it could get in to the public hearing draft, as long as comments we are receiving don't affect our environmental assessment. I don't think this would, so...</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
<p>• Outreach Committee</p>	<p><u>Jeff Kreps</u> - I really didn't understand that (unintelligible).</p> <p><u>Tony Salome</u> - if I could just say, that's going to be on the Consent Agenda, but the um, proposed additions that we came up with, the Tree & Landscape Committee, are a combination of a lot of different communities in the area. There's nothing outrageous in there, but basically, we took a lot of material from the various communities, and just tried to create a basic plan, that we could incorporate in the Community Plan. And we understand that it has to be looked at and discussed as to whether it fits in the Plan. But we think there's a lot of good information there and we hope a lot of it does get into the Plan ultimately.</p> <p><u>Chairperson Harris</u> - and the document is on our website. locac.info on the Meetings page.</p> <p><u>Linde Owen</u> - I guess it just triggered a thought of mine. We're sort of trying to plant trees and beautify Los Osos and do our right-of-ways, but the County at the same time has made a decision to not plant any more trees, and they don't want to be stuck with maintenance costs on trees on the streets, so I just wanted to throw that out. That has a kind of a yin and a yang. And those of us who would like to see more trees replaced as they go down, I wish the County would know that that is what keeps Los Osos beautiful, keeping some of our street trees, and um, whether we pull that out of our budget for parks that we don't have and put it into maintaining those trees on the streets, but I would really encourage the County to embrace us. Thank you.</p> <p><u>Outreach Chairperson Sandra Sarrouf</u> - so, we're still doing the newsletter twice a month. By a show of hands, who gets that, I'm really curious. (Unintelligible, then laughter.) So, it's emailed out from MailChimp to people who have either signed up or from our previously existing list. I took that list and transferred it in and created MailChimp to send out these bimonthly newsletters. And I was just curious, who in the room, as an Outreach Committee wonder, and I know you are having issues with actually seeing it, but who in the room has gotten those? (Show of hands.) That's like less than half. If you would like to get them, you can either email me or go to the website and click on the little monkey in the corner and sign up, and you'll get the newsletter the second and fourth Monday of the month. And I try to include any information that the Council gives me as well as other events in the community. We are also talking about developing a forum. This is—it's still very early on, but we are trying to think of other ways people can give input by not actually showing up. So that's down the line—</p> <p><u>Member Korin</u> - better if they show up.</p> <p><u>Outreach Chairperson Sarrouf</u> - yeah, but the reality is, people aren't going to show up unless something's in their back yard. So, they might have opinions or ideas.</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
	<p><u>Chairperson Harris</u> - a lot of our people, especially on some of our issues, flooded us with email, whether they're in support of something or against something. And so the idea behind the forum was to maybe capture that energy and put it in a format that everybody could see it, rather than just be private emails to us.</p> <p><u>Outreach Chairperson Sarrouf</u> - before that we have to develop ground rules and moderators and all that, so that's a discussion we're having right now.</p> <p><u>Chairperson Harris</u> - we'll let you know if and when one is up and running.</p> <p><u>Outreach Chairperson Sarrouf</u> - yeah. And if you guys have other ideas, I think the idea is that we want to get more people involved, or at least just know what LOCAC is doing and that we exist. Because it's not really common knowledge for the average Los Osos-ian (laughter). You all care, right? So that's kind of our long (unintelligible). And I also met with a couple people from the CSD who also want to do more outreach—so thinking of ways to collaborate efforts to get the information out to the community.</p> <p><u>Dave Bowlus</u> - I just have a question, but I heard an ad on the radio for MailChimp the other day and I didn't really know what they do—and they talk about improving your business and stuff like that. I'm just curious, does it cost money to be on MailChimp or use it?</p> <p><u>Outreach Chairperson Sarrouf</u> - no, we're in the free platform, so they have, like you know, upgraded. But I think we can have up to 2,000 people. We're good in the free one for a while, unless we feel like, that we need to expand. I can see how many people have opened the document, and how many clicks we're getting, so from an analytical marketing tool, we can see what's going on, or what generates interest, like everyone's going to click on the Vacation Rental thing or you know, ah, so right now, it's great.</p> <p><u>Faylla Chapman</u> - I want to know if you can put on the second and fourth Monday on Nextdoor that you send out this newsletter, and put a link to it, so all the people that are on Nextdoor might find out more about it.</p> <p><u>Outreach Chairperson Sarrouf</u> - if I put a link to Nextdoor?</p> <p><u>Faylla Chapman</u> - if you put a link to your newsletter on Nextdoor.</p> <p><u>Outreach Chairperson Sarrouf</u> - if somebody wants to do that, I don't do Nextdoor.</p> <p><u>Member Bender</u> - I can do that.</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
<p><u>CONSENT AGENDA Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DRC2019-00008, Marvin/Stratford -remodel former office bldg at 945 LOVR for new ale house. Recommend support. • DR2019-0002, Tortosa. vacation rental at 1221 3rd St. Recommend denial as is not in compliance with density requirements per VR guidelines. • Proposed additions to Community Plan - (document posted on website). 	<p><u>Outreach Chairperson Sarrouf</u> - yeah, anybody on Nextdoor can say, hey, you should sign up for this, here's the link, and just send out the link.</p> <p><u>Member Bender</u> - I'll just put a link to our website and—</p> <p>(Crosstalk.)</p> <p>Chairperson Harris asks for a vote. A vote is taken with one objection and a request to speak.</p> <p>A motion and a second were made. LOCAC voted on the Consent items:</p> <p>DRC2019-00008 Marvin/Stratford - In favor - 11, opposed - 0, no abstentions. (11-0-0).</p> <p>DR2019-0002 Tortosa - In favor - 10, opposed - 1, no abstentions. (10-1-0).</p> <p>Proposed additions to Community Plan - In favor - 11, opposed - 0, no abstentions. (11-0-0).</p> <p><u>Member Lynette Tornatzky</u> - okay, well, I see we are still voting on Vacation Rentals. And I personally didn't support all the different rules in the package that LOCAC came up with. And this Vacation Rental, and every Vacation Rental, has to follow the County rules. It's like we could make up whatever we want, but the reality is, when they get to the County, those are the rules that are going to be followed. So, one other point on this was that this Vacation Rental was in a commercial zone. I feel it should have a little different treatment from us. And I also feel that until the LOCAC rules are vetted by County Counsel, and put into the larger public process, and when it actually get codified in the LCP and the Coastal Commission has looked at it, I think our role should be to suggest conditions. And really, the point of us denying it, there's how many people in the room that know we're denying it? People coming up to us with a new Vacation Rental, they don't know anything about it. So I feel like we kind of beat up those people. They come in, we tell them no, no, no, and oh, if we remember, by the way, our rules don't matter, it's the County rules that matter, so I feel like we're showing these people a very inhospitable community. So I cannot support denying this Vacation Rental for those reasons. Thank you.</p> <p><u>Member Stanfill</u> - I think Lynette has a valid point, and I would certainly support it.</p> <p><u>Chairperson Harris</u> - well, we could possibly revisit the whole notion of abiding by our guidelines.</p> <p><u>Member Stanfill</u> - I think we need some guidance from the County. We're</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
	<p>sitting out here hanging out here. And they could probably help us a little bit in sorting this whole thing out.</p> <p><u>Member Tornatzky</u> - well, Kerry was here, she did help.</p> <p><u>Vice Chairperson Mayfield</u> - well, I'll just have to say, you know, we just discussed this endlessly for many months at the Land Use Committee and at LOCAC, and we have every right as a community advisory council to give our advice and to make our recommendations irrespective of what the County ordinance is. We're working to try and get an ordinance change for Los Osos; it could take a very long time. But in the meantime, there's no reason why we can't provide to the County, and let them know what our desires are for the community in terms of Vacation Rentals. And that's all we're doing. And so we forward our recommendations based on these guidelines that we've developed and voted on. And so that's why we're using those guidelines making these Consent Agenda items, so that we don't have to visit the topic every time a Vacation Rental comes up. And so we use the guidelines as they were intended and make our recommendations based on those guidelines and don't argue the issue every time a Vacation Rental comes up.</p> <p><u>Chairperson Harris</u> - well said. All right, so we have a—</p> <p><u>Member Sarrouf</u> - I have a question on process. I'm a little confused. Because usually the applicant comes and presents, it's happened in the past. Did this happen with this one and I totally missed it?</p> <p><u>Member Korin</u> - they did not show up.</p> <p><u>Member Sarrouf</u> - at the Land Use Committee, but they sometimes come here and do it, right?</p> <p><u>Vice Chairperson Mayfield</u> - if it's not on the Consent Agenda.</p> <p>(Crosstalk.)</p> <p><u>Vice Chairperson Mayfield</u> - if it's a regular agenda item we like them to be here so we can discuss it.</p> <p><u>Member Sarrouf</u> - was this on a Regular Agenda item at one point?</p> <p>(Crosstalk.)</p> <p><u>Member Sarrouf</u> - only at the Land Use.</p> <p><u>Member Bender</u> - and they could have shown up at this meeting and raised questions.</p> <p><u>Member Sarrouf</u> - they chose not to.</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
	<p><u>Member Stanfill</u> - in which case, if they showed up—</p> <p><u>Chairperson Harris</u> - we could have pulled it out onto a Regular Agenda item. The whole idea was to avoid inconveniencing people. We had people driving from Bakersfield to the Land Use Committee and they just put it on our regular agenda and they had to come back two weeks later for the LOCAC meeting, the same presentation all over again. As long as we have these guidelines, we're either going to use them or not, so they don't have to keep doubling up.</p> <p><u>Member Stanfill</u> - but it's made very clear to the applicant that the Land Use Committee through their vote has denied their application. And that will be the recommendation to this board.</p> <p><u>Member Womack</u> - well, we don't deny applications, we make a recommendation on what the community wants. But we don't have the power to deny or accept.</p> <p><u>Vita Miller</u> - can I ask a question? I'm still a little confused. When you deny it, where does it go next? (Chorus - the County.) County Panning? They make the actual final decision?</p> <p>(Crosstalk.)</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - it's the hearing officer that makes the decision, not the Planning Department.</p> <p><u>Vita Miller</u> - can that be appealed? (Chorus - yes it can.) To the Board of Supervisors? (Chorus - yes it can.) I'm pretty sure I read an article today where the Coastal Commission overruled a denial?</p> <p>(Crosstalk.)</p> <p><u>Vita Miller</u> - so can it actually go to the Coastal Commission? (Chorus - yes.) So in other words, you're just making a recommendation.</p> <p>(Crosstalk.)</p> <p>Chairperson Harris is reminded that we'd voted on this.</p> <p>(Crosstalk.)</p> <p><u>Vita Miller</u> - I just want to ask why don't you take Public Comment on the Consent Agenda items because at the Board of Supervisors they do.</p> <p>(Crosstalk.)</p> <p><u>Member Womack</u> - I would think that we could have, we could make— (crosstalk). The public could state their views.</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
	<p><u>Member Bender</u> - the item has to be pulled if you want to have discussion on it or make a comment on it.</p> <p><u>Member Womack</u> - but did she get a chance to pull it? We would have to pull it. She doesn't get to pull it.</p> <p><u>Chairperson Harris</u> - one of us has to pull it. One of us has to say</p> <p><u>Member Womack</u> - I would just say, if she wants to have—</p> <p>(Crosstalk.)</p> <p><u>Vita Miller</u> - the CSD does allow you—</p> <p><u>Member Harper</u> - another way to do it is, when somebody makes a motion, seconds the motion, then discussion from the board, discussion from the public, then you have a vote. (Crosstalk.) Then you don't have to pull anything.</p> <p>(Crosstalk.)</p> <p><u>Member Womack</u> - if Vita wants to have a —say something about the Consent Agenda, I believe we should let her say.</p> <p><u>Member Stanfill</u> - a motion and a second, then you take discussion.</p> <p><u>Member Sue Morgenthaler</u> - may I ask a question? At the Board of Supervisors, I always thought that, if we want, I was told, that if something was going to the Planning Commission for example, and we, no, was dealt with at the hearing, and we didn't like it, we had X amount of time to appeal it. Or if it was going to go straight to Consent Agenda for the Commission, that we have to give them notice on the Friday before or something? I mean, isn't there some time before, I mean you can't just go to a meeting and the pull consent, you have to do it ahead of time.</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - that's the Planning Department hearing which is a very specific process for only that hearing. The Planning Commission, board, you can always comment on Consent items.</p> <p><u>Member Sue Morgenthaler</u> - you can comment, but there is no discussion, right? That's the whole point of Consent Agenda.</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - it can be pulled.</p> <p><u>Supervisor Gibson</u> - so the idea is to move things forward, to expedite things moving forward. So my suggestion, if I might Mr. Chairman, is when you present your Consent Agenda, is to ask the public to comment on it (Member Stanfill - before the vote) before the vote, even perhaps before the motion. If there is controversy on it—in theory, Consent</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
<p>PUBLIC COMMENTS ON ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA:</p>	<p>Agendas don't have controversy (laughter), in theory. But we know that controversy is part of life. The way we do it at the board, is to take comment on the Consent Agenda. If any of the council members feel as that they would like to oppose a particular item on Consent, then it can be identified for a separate vote. So in this instance, what you could, could possibly have done, was you may have found controversy on the Vacation Rental item, and you could say, okay, we'll take that separately, we'll do the two that weren't controversial, whoosh, away they go. Come back with a motion and any further discussion among the council on the controversial item, and then, you'd have your ten to one vote on that.</p> <p><u>Chairperson Harris</u> - it seems like all Vacation Rentals are controversial, maybe se shouldn't be putting them on the Consent Agenda.</p> <p>(Laughter, crosstalk.)</p> <p><u>Chairperson Harris</u> - again, any public comments on items not on the agenda.</p> <p><u>Linde Owen</u> - I just wanted to say, well two things, the idea that we're paving South Bay kind of throws me off because driving in on Los Osos Valley Road, there are huge chunks, cracks, that road is a mess. So I might suggest we looking to see which one needs more attention right now, cause that road, there is moisture or something, that road is starting to crack out in places and there are holes. Big ones.</p> <p><u>Chairperson Harris</u> - but didn't the supervisors say they were getting ready to pave LOVR? (No South Bay.)</p> <p><u>Supervisor Gibson</u> - it's not paving, it's paving preservation, slurry seal or chip seal, simply to extend the life of that pavement. If there's specific issues on any road, please contact Public Works, or our office, and we can have them look at it and we can get to it.</p> <p><u>Linde Owen</u> - and then the other issue on Los Osos Valley Road, as you get to the avocado farm on the left, I think that we all need to visualize that we're all going to be driving past three acres of white hoop tents, lights on at night, growing full-fledged marijuana, it's four different projects, all owned by—four different applications, by the same owner. Anyway, it's going to transform our travels back and forth, and it's something that—I don't know how I didn't really think about it but this is the time to discuss to just go back further, it's going to have just a slight area in front with some shrubbery that will grow. You're gonna smell skunk, and its going to be all lit up and your trip back and forth will be forever changed once that goes through.</p> <p><u>Chairperson Harris</u> - that's already passed through LOCAC, so your next step is to—(crosstalk).</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - we're going to look at that stuff (crosstalk).</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
	<p><u>Chairperson Harris</u> - they said that they were going to plant trees.</p> <p>(Crosstalk.)</p> <p>A motion and second was made to adjourn. People voted with their feet.</p> <p>Meeting adjourned 8:06 p.m..</p> <p>The next meeting is March 28, 2019.</p> <p>Respectfully submitted,</p> <p><i>Lynette Tornatzky</i></p> <p>Lynette Tornatzky LOCAC Secretary</p>

DRAFT