



Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Highway Patrol Report</li> <li>• County Staff Report</li> </ul>	<p>There was no Highway Patrol report.</p> <p><u>County Senior Planner Kerry Brown</u> - has some news. The 1st Street project was heard at the Planning Commission today, and a good hearing—lots of public input. And the Planning Commission, I thought they were going to deny the project, it kind of seemed like it was headed that way. And, they decided to continue the project off calendar, to allow for a reduced project, scaled back project, and get some more community input, and try to get some more consensus on the project itself. So, we will continue to discuss 1st Street, and we'll probably have a public meeting; we haven't figured all that out, obviously, but, it's in the works. And then, the McDonald Vacation Rental, which is something that the Advisory Council did not support. I believe it (crosstalk) — I wasn't at the last Advisory Council, but it's my understanding that was not recommended for approval.</p> <p><u>Vice Chairperson Mayfield</u> - right, it did not meet our guidelines.</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - okay, so, we brought that up to our management, and discussed the project, discussed the merits, and where we wanted to go—if we were going to take it forward to the board for denial and management decided that we would allow, we would support one Vacation Rental at the site. So that's what we're supporting, we're going to be scheduling that for a hearing, so input on—how your recommendations are kind of getting folded in. We don't know how this will kind of—what the result will be obviously; we just make a recommendation, as you all know, we're not the decision makers. But we do understand the concerns about having Vacation Rentals in multi-family. And, it is a concern for us too.</p> <p><u>Vice Chairperson Mayfield</u> - okay, do you have any other input as to why they wanted to approve one Vacation Rental at the property?</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - well, I don't think that we had enough information to just say none, we didn't have enough input to say none, I mean we know that the guidelines say none in multi-family, but that's not an adopted ordinance, so management didn't feel that they were ready to do that.</p> <p><u>Supervisor Bruce Gibson</u> - so I think, let me just jump in. That when it comes to whatever hearing it does, it sounds like a hearing officer (unintelligible) staff will write up their analysis and members of the public can comment about it.</p> <p><u>Questions/Comments from the Council:</u></p> <p><u>Member Sarrouf</u> - with the guidelines that we adopted, where is that in the process—like how is that flowing through?</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - well, we're looking to fold it in to the Community Plan,</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
	<p>and that is being held up right now by the Habitat Conservation Plan. But we do expect to have hearings next year.</p> <p><u>Member Sarrouf</u> - so once it goes into—it has to go into the Community Plan first and then it gets adopted by the Planning Commissions?</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - so, it needs to be, since it’s an ordinance amendment, be approved by the Board of Supervisors and the Coastal Commission.</p> <p><u>Member Sarrouf</u> - but in order for them to approve it, it has to be in the Community Plan? It’s not a separate document?</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - it doesn’t have to be in the Community Plan, no, it can be a separate—and it probably will be a separate amendment in that we’ll likely put it in our Title 23, which is the Coastal Zone Land Use Ordinance, rather than in the Community Plan. That’s where all of our Vacation Rental standards are at this point for all of the coastal communities.</p> <p><u>Questions/Comments from the Public:</u></p> <p><u>Linde Owen</u> - why wouldn’t it go into Title 23? If it’s going to go into Title 23, why would that not be the next step. And then as the Community Plan continues on its long process....</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - well, we don’t actually have any authorization to do a Vacation Rental ordinance amendment at this time. And so we understand that the community wants it, and so that’s why we’re folding it into the Community Plan, because we see it as a community need. However, we haven’t been directed by the Board of Supervisors to come to them with an ordinance (unintelligible) for Vacation Rentals. So I would see how we could separate it out and move forward separately at this point, unless we were directed by the Board of Supervisors.</p> <p><u>Linde Owen</u> - and what would that take?</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - that would take an authorization at the Board. We would have to get three members of the Board to support it. A lot of us in planning think that we need to revisit our Vacation Rental Ordinance in general for a lot of other communities too, so it’s something that we’d like to see, but it’s not—you know, we are not the Board of Supervisors. We do—we’re directed by them, so we can’t just—we want to do this, we can’t just go and do it.</p> <p><u>Linde Owen</u> - so, it would it take community input to approach the Board to ask for an update on the entire Vacation Rental—? I’m just trying to understand the process a little.</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - yeah, it would take the Board of Supervisors directing staff, so it could be brought up whenever we have Priority Report.</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
	<p>We have those twice a year. We actually have one coming up in October. This is how the Avila Community Plan got started. A bunch of Community members showed up and requested it and so it could happen that way.</p> <p><u>Member Sarrouf</u> - and what's that date?</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - the day after Columbus Day.</p> <p><u>Supervisor Gibson</u> - that would be the 16th?</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - the 11th? The ninth, the ninth.</p> <p>(Crosstalk.)</p> <p><u>Vice Chairperson Mayfield</u> - I'm sorry, what is that meeting?</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - so, twice a year, the Board looks at Planning Department's priorities. And we have like a top ten list of projects that we're working on; the Community Plan, the Habitat Conservation Plan are on that list as well as other—you know, the Avila Community Plan, we have other efforts that we're working on. We have a safety element. And so twice a year we bring the list to the Board and ask for direction. This is when sometimes things get taken off and sometimes things get added on.</p> <p><u>Member Sarrouf</u> - so is there a meeting with like different community advisory councils about what they feel their priorities want to be? Like is there some kind of strategic planning so that community's ideas of what their priorities might be are brought to the Planning Commission and then brought to the Board? I'm trying to understand how the process works.</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - it's strategic planning for the Board of Supervisors and their vision for the County. It's not really kind of coming up from the advisory councils necessarily. It's—there's—they have a lot of housing initiatives that they're working on—a lot of County-wide efforts too, so it's not really only community driven. Cannabis is a big thing that our Planning Department's working on, and been a priority on that list and that's County-wide, so....</p> <p><u>Supervisor Gibson</u> - I'll speak a little bit to that in my statement.</p> <p><u>Linde Owen</u> - could we—because we're sort of having a back and forth which you usually don't allow, but I wondered if she could explain who management is when they direct you to review a project or whatever, who exactly is—</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - the Planning Director, the Deputy Director, management is our division managers—</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
<p>• Supervisor Gibson’s Report</p>	<p>(Crosstalk.)</p> <p><u>Linde Owen</u> - if we as a community wanted to have an ordinance update which I’m particularly concerned about the cell tower regulations. How do we approach the County to agendize....this. What I understand is there was a revision in December of last year. I just would like to know how it was revised and who revised it, and if the community is involved, the cell phone regulation ordinances—</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - our ordinances were revised in December? I’m not aware of that.</p> <p><u>Linde Owen</u> - that’s what the paperwork says, I’ve got it at home. But yeah, that’s why I’m sitting here going, who revised it, what did they revise, what changed.</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - it wasn’t revised.</p> <p><u>Linde Owen</u> - well, your documentation says it was, so—I mean I’ll be continuing to research—</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - yeah, why don’t you send me some references.</p> <p><u>Linde Owen</u> - okay.</p> <p><u>Supervisor Gibson</u> - it’s a pleasure to be with you as always. Let me just start with the planning issues. In terms of the priorities that the Board directs the Planning Department to work on; that sort of comes up organically from communities, members of communities, staff of the Planning Department and individual supervisors, what are we interested in? Planning has been dramatically impacted by a number of initiatives, cannabis being first and foremost. Adapting to the new world of legalized cannabis, medical and recreational, is a big deal. So well before that ever get—we realized we had to strategic to what Planning is going to work on, and so we’ve taken to twice a year, visiting with Planning staff, having an item before the Board, and the supervisors give direction as to what are those things that Planning should concentrate on. There is actually a very long list of things that Planning has to do day to day to meet State mandates and to take care of customers who come in over the counter. But in terms of what they look forward, as looking forward, what they do, is directed by the Board. For instance, the Los Osos Community Plan is one of the priorities. As been known, as the sewer construction is wrapped up, an update to the Community Plan is a necessity. And so, for some years now, that’s actually been on the list. Getting other things on the list is a matter of each supervisor listening to their communities, through their advisory councils is a good way, and seeing how those things compete. In the matter of Vacation Rentals, I think, I’ll have to talk a little bit more with Planning staff, and particularly the management. There are two aspects to this; one is the general sense of the ordinance, as it applies in Cambria, Cayucos and</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
	<p>Avila Beach right now, and there are aspects of the overall coordinates that are in need of being looked at. That is not on the priority list. The matter of Vacation Rentals within Los Osos, might be something we could consider taking up separately. And one way we might do that, is in talking about the Community Plan as a priority already on the Planning Department, we could say, coming out of the planning process, would be some ideas on how we regulate Vacations Rentals, and so maybe we could get the Board to authorize the necessary land use ordinance amendments that to be consistent with the Community Plan. It's not a small thing, but it's not a huge thing, those things might be able to get through the Planning Commission Board and the Coastal Commission together. Because it's really just implementing the plan that's being put forward in the Community Plan. We'll talk some more about that and see if it makes sense.</p> <p>Not much else that I was going to talk about, we did have, I think, I know since the last meeting of this group, we did have a large gathering of folks in this room talking about the Los Osos Library. It was a really interesting evening. We talked about some of the work that has been jointly funded by the Friends of the Library and the County Library Department, and talked about different paths forward. There are two basic paths forward: One is to try to find an existing building and remodel it to be the new Los Osos Branch Library, and the other was to expand at or near the site of the existing library, and it was a very clear community voice that said do the latter, even as the expanding in this area, whether it is on that site or another possible parcel nearby, is going to be more expensive and take longer. And that's great, that's we need—we've got a direction now. So next steps on that, are really—I'm going to get together with the Library Department and the Parks Department who has the other main interest here to talk about how we take the next step of planning that sort of gets some general ideas of what an expanded library would look like in terms of the land around here. And that'll lead us to a conversation of what might need to be done in the Community Plan to be sure that we can accommodate that expansion of lands (unintelligible). It just makes all the sense in the world that if we're updating the Community Plan, that we make provisions for expanding the library. So, we'll hope to be back in front of the community early next year to get more input. Like I say, it was a really, it was a really great evening; a room full of folks that are passionate about libraries and I think we had a really good outcome.</p> <p>Those are the two main things and I'd be happy to talk about anything else that comes to mind.</p> <p><u>Questions/Comments from the Council:</u></p> <p><u>Member Womack</u> - has a question about the library. I couldn't to come to the meeting because there was a CSD meeting, but I heard that one of the sites was north of the tennis courts, but isn't that where the dog park goes, or would it be adjacent, or...?</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
	<p><u>Supervisor Gibson</u> - so that's all, so we think timing and location and the overall plan, it is a place where the CSD is thinking about the dog park. We need to do some feasibility studying as to what makes the best place for the library, and where we can accommodate a dog park too. It's not an "or." It's an "and." And we'll try to figure out how both of those needs can be—</p> <p><u>Member Womack</u> - can they exist on the same parcel, or—?</p> <p><u>Supervisor Gibson</u> - well, in the long run, probably not, but we understand the community's desire for a dog park. The thing about a dog park is, it's very simple and relatively inexpensive to set up, and it's also relatively simple and inexpensive to move. So once we've got the necessary environmental work done. And so, certainly the potential displacement of the planned dog park is an issue to be looked at, but the dog park is a different scale of project.</p> <p><u>Member Womack</u> - I agree, I think it's just that they are trying to move along with the dog park, and get that done, and if we're thinking about putting—which I think would be a great place for the library—but then, instead of having to move it....</p> <p><u>Supervisor Gibson</u> - right, no, it's certainly, certainly part of the consideration, and there are a number of considerations there; to expand at the existing site would require closing the library branch and relocating it temporarily. That's a big issue. And so, those are the kinds of things that we need to drill down on, given that we've sort of narrowed the focus of where the community wants a library.</p> <p><u>Member Womack</u> - I'm just thinking that if we're pushing forward and moving ahead on the dog park, to just have to move it, I mean it might be just—I'm just thinking of our plan, and how can we—we could best spend our time to not replicate ourselves.</p> <p><u>Supervisor Gibson</u> - absolutely, we'll be in conversation on that.</p> <p><u>Member Womack</u> - okay.</p> <p><u>Member Harper</u> - does it make sense Bruce, for LOCAC to write something to you or your attention on Vacation Rental issue? Would that be helpful, or you've already heard it?</p> <p><u>Supervisor Gibson</u> - you've already put forward your guidelines and you've indicated your interest in seeing that in the Community Plan, and as Kerry was saying, whatever emerges out of the Community Plan in terms of regulating Vacation Rentals in Los Osos, depends first on the recommendation of the Planning Commission and then, the actual decision of the Board. That's the process. Planning Commission looks at the Community Plan and says, we recommend that it look like this. And it goes to the Board of Supervisors and says yes, this is the way</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
	<p>we want it. It's then sent to the Coastal Commission for a consistency determination, which takes whatever time it takes. But, whatever it looks like within the Community Plan, in terms of the desire to regulate Vacation Rentals, it make sense to have the implementing ordinance coming along with it, so that it becomes....so that's what we do (unintelligible).</p> <p><u>Questions/Comments from the Public:</u></p> <p><u>Steve Best</u> - I was kind of shocked when I heard that at the library meeting. How long have we been discussing the lot between the tennis courts and the Catholic Church as a potential library site, as the alternative for the library versus our agreement that we've been working out with the CSD to have that be the site for the dog park? And this being a contiguous parcel, putting he dog park down there continues the existing park that we do have as one piece of property, versus making that into a library. And again, I'm not of the opinion being in the building industry for 40 some-odd years that you have to necessarily close down that library to be able to remodel it. We're not looking at changing that many people that go to the library, we're looking at available space per person necessarily. So that was one of the issues. You know, I think a lot of the community was kind of blind sided by that process, we've been working so long and talking about that as a potential part of the dog park, enhancing the existing park because we have so little of it, I want to know if that's been—you know, how much of that has been in the Planning Department? Has the Planning Department had any input or thought of in terms of where we should have our library? And my other concern is that if the library is not there, and that we could possibly use the library as a jumping off spot to enhance the property there, the Tri-W site so that we could use it as a learning center and so forth. You know, will we potentially lose the Tri-W site as a potential recreational property here for Los Osos. So there's a lot of factors that go along with it. You know, I'd hate to see that whole property including the Tri-W site go to, you know chains, going to commercial and retail applications, that becomes grouped along with the rest of the property there that's between Ramona and LOVR.</p> <p><u>Supervisor Gibson</u> - so most of that was commentary, but let me pick a couple of—</p> <p><u>Steve Best</u> - you do that to me all the time (unintelligible).</p> <p><u>Supervisor Gibson</u> - I'm going to respond Steve, I'm going to respond to a couple of questions that I picked out of that. The consideration of the vacant lot by the tennis courts was in the alternatives analysis that's been underway for the most of the year by the library (unintelligible).</p> <p><u>Steve Best</u> - (unintelligible)</p> <p><u>Supervisor Gibson</u> - so Steve, I'm responding to you. And we were</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
	<p>alerted to that. And we talked about it at the meeting that we had in this room a couple of, three weeks ago. The—understand, that the County stands by its commitment to work with the CSD to establish a dog park. That’s gonna happen, so as I said before, this is not a matter of trading away a dog park in order to come up with a library site. So that will be a consideration. And the Planning Department had, I think, some involvement with the alternatives analysis, but now the Planning Department is going to get more involved as we get deeper into narrowing down the possibilities. And the question of accommodating a dog park will be part of the consideration of figuring out how we move forward with the library. That’s a given. In terms of the Mid-Town site, the Mid-Town site is under a condition of the sewer project that says that it shall remain as you see it right now, restored to its native habitat until such time as the Local Coastal Program amendment repropose it for some other public use. So, the Mid-Town site cannot be rezoned to commercial or residential uses, per permit condition of the sewer system, and that’s what I’m talking about when we dig into understanding how we make it possible for a new library branch to be established in this community what we have to do in terms of modifying our LCP, is what we’re about. So that’s how we’re going to move forward on it. But the dog park concept is in process, and we look forward to it happening, and we’ll figure out where the best place is to do it.</p> <p><u>LOCSD Director Tornatzky</u> - I have a comment or question about the last comment. I ran the Parks and Recreation Committee on the CSD. We worked mightily for months and months and months, and actually looked at the published literature of what constitutes a dog park. We knew—and nothing moved in the bureaucracy frankly.</p> <p><u>Supervisor Gibson</u> - and you know why Lou.</p> <p><u>LOCSD Director Tornatzky</u> - well, I have some clues...</p> <p><u>Supervisor Gibson</u> - okay, I’m happy to get to a question, but what’s your concern regarding this?</p> <p><u>LOCSD Director Tornatzky</u> - my concern is that we go back to point one here. You know, the CSD has basically studied this. I had a committee of very, very talented people, and their year basically got wasted. It was the County, then it was the State and all this other...</p> <p><u>Supervisor Gibson</u> - again, that’s commentary that I don’t agree with. I don’t think that the time on that committee is wasted. We have an idea to move forward with a dog park on a vacant parcel, and we’ll continue down that path. We’ve got to evaluate that relative to the establishment of a new library branch and we will do that. I’ll make it clear, the dog park is in process. The dog park can’t occur because we need to get the Habitat Conservation Plan done. Because the environmental impact, whether you or I, agree with the assessment of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service or not, as to the environmental impact of putting up a fence and allowing</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
	<p>dogs to run on that particular piece of property, we have to wait until that HCP is done before we can actually do that little bit of development to make the dog park exist. And so—so that’s what we’re doing. And I’m pushing mightily to get that HCP done. We’re hopeful that happens early next year, had recent meetings that are getting it going. When it’s done, we’ll move forward with the dog park. But I think we also have to look at the options for establishing a library (unintelligible), and that was the community in this meeting indicated they wanted to consider the option of here to there. The existence of the dog park is absolutely part of that equation. And it’s not, this is not to play dog park enthusiasts off against library patrons, who I imagine have a great overlap. So we’ll just—we’ll figure it out. There will be a dog park and a new library branch in Los Osos.</p> <p><u>LOCSD Director Lou Tornatzky</u> - there’s 14,000 people, there’s a whole bunch of kids who would love to have a dog park, and there’s a lot of dogs.</p> <p><u>Supervisor Gibson</u> - absolutely, I agree. And I want to see one. And that’s the fastest way to get one right now. But it’s also a potential site for other things and we’re just going to have to work through the pros and the cons on all of this.</p> <p><u>Steve Best</u> - and I disagree with the premise that it takes little money to move this site and it takes a lot of money to build it. You’ve got fences and you’ve got benches, you’ve got paving and you’ve got grass, you’ve got all these things, so moving the dog park is not that much cheaper than building it to start with.</p> <p><u>Linde Owen</u> - I have a couple questions, again, to the library, I’m questioning why, if the community has loudly and clearly said that that’s where they want their library, then, and we’ve already spent between thirty-five and fifty thousand for this analysis, and that comes out of hard-earned money that the Friends of the Library and the County had to put in—I think is this process continuing? Second point is, of course you can keep a library open if you build an add-on, to go to that answer, to ask you, why, if the HCP can be bent to allow development in this area, and with mitigation, I do not understand why we cannot go and request an expansion of the library onto the Tri-W property, which belongs to every person that pays a sewer bill in this community, \$221 a year, we pay, to keep that restored and to finish paying it off. The Coastal Commission agreed, they were willing to let the skate park go there, but the powers that be, that had wanted it there, refused to do it. But the Coastal Commission said all—and it’s—that property is designated for future park amenities. So my question is, where is the thought process in the County to not go—the Coastal Commission would approve. I believe the Coastal Commission would approve it if asked. It’s simply a library expansion, it’s not putting in an industrial manure plant. It’s in its —again, there is such a thing as the greater public good. And if the library needs to expand, you could build a beautiful expansion over some</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
	<p>of the wetland to protect it. You would definitely disturb part of the area, but could we afford to pay for mitigation elsewhere in order to accomplish what I think the community wants to see which is that library, where you'd look out the windows at this beautiful expensive park that we're providing for the world, basically. Anybody that wants can use the property that we're paying for. I just, I really think that there's—it's a convoluted process, that I think, I would ask that you go back and just look at the simplicity, approach the Coastal Commission and say we need to go out a quarter acre into that land. All that land was bulldozed away from what it was previously when it was habitat. All they did was destroy the middle of it. But the County came in and bulldozed the entire thing, created new mounds, and if it was done, destroying habitat, if we have to destroy habitat that we paid to put in again, I see that as a valid step for us to go forward and get this library expansion done. And I don't think it needs to be as large, we heard from many people that they're happy with the size, larger for more activities there would be excellent, but it doesn't need to be, you know, five times bigger. And the front could be, remain operating while you built the add on to the back. There's a house on 9th Street you can see they tore off the front of the house, they're putting it back, the back is—I don't know if anybody is living there, but it's done all the time, and I mean I could approach them and ask them to approach you and explain how (unintelligible), or you could just drive by and take a look. It's, it's—you keep the library functioning, and you partition off with dust and barrier stuff and you build out into the Tri-W over as much of the wetland as you can to protect it, so um—and then my other question which is probably ongoing, is, I'm still curious about the \$10 million legal fees that the County paid to defend their sewer project, and I'd like to know if there's any progress at the County (unintelligible).</p> <p><u>Supervisor Gibson</u> - to respond to your first one, expanding the current library onto the Mid-Town site as it's called, now is one of the options that we're going to be looking at. It's absolutely, that—that's—I'm sorry if I wasn't clear, but that's the nature of the next level of planning, is to see what the pros and cons of expanding it onto more area at it's current site. That's one option, that's what we're going to be studying. As to whether the current library can remain in operation, if that's the option that's chosen, I'm not a construction expert, and that remains to be analyzed again as one of the alternatives. The size of the desired branch has been pretty much set by the standards of libraries for communities of a certain population and the need for certain functionality within it. And so we're pretty much feeling that this is what the community is going to need for a reasonable time into the future to expand. So all of those things are going to be considered. My feeling is that a library that is as actively uses as this, and it is one of the most used libraries in the entire County system, can't shut down, it's gotta, we've gotta maintain a branch somewhere in Los Osos, whatever path we happen to take. So know that the business of continuing a branch library while the improvement of the current branch is considered, is absolutely on the table. And then, as regards to the payment to settle the ARB, the final bill of the sewer, we are still in discussion with the State Water Board staff about a</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
<p>• LOCSD Report</p>	<p>potential refinancing of those—of that amount of money into the State Revolving Fund loan, and we expect to be—I hope we’re in front of the State Board by December, if not December, early (unintelligible) so we’ll know what that’s gonna look like.</p> <p><u>Linde Owen</u> - but no further discussion about distributing the failure of mitigating that lawsuit earlier, and correcting...the situation?</p> <p><u>Supervisor Gibson</u> - again, I’m not going to respond to assertions of failure to mitigate things like that. The expectation is that the users of the Los Osos sewer are going to be paying the bills for the Los Osos sewer, and that \$10 million is the last bill. And so that, at this point, nothing has changed in terms of the expectation.</p> <p><u>LOCSD Director Lou Tornatzky</u> - I passed out some copies, not enough to go all the way around. Okay, going back to the LOCSD Board meeting of September 6, the following issues were talked about and so on. The Board discussed and approved several purchases and initiatives for the activities for the Fire Department which were articulated by Fire Chief Allen (Alex) and we’ll be in better shape to ward off the thing that seems to be wanting to burn up all of California. There’s been several grant applications underway. Staff submitted a grant application that will hopefully result in grant funding that will enable the construction of a new 8th Street well project. There’s several kinds of concern about that project, which can go on and on and I won’t do that. Several months of evaluation and feedback will pass before we know the funding picture. So hold on, watch what’s happening. The Utilities Department is moving forward with the purchase of a 570-valve water distribution system, which is how it runs its water to your homes, etc.. Again, there’s a lot of technicalities that go on and on. You can look it up and find out what it is. The Board approved expanded repairs and maintenance of the district backhoe, at the estimated cost of \$6,700. At long discussion, the Board approved spending of funds to remove the rubber chips that have been used in the Los Osos County Park playground, and subsequent distribution of Fibar chips that are in effect a treated wood-like substance. So go what has been practiced for the last many years to this new thing, which seems to be less bothersome in terms of kids playing in there and so on. So, dedicated funds were also approved for the project, as well as in-kind volunteer participation, including the participation in-kind, of basically the participation of all of the Parks and Rec Committee will be out there shoveling this stuff, so you can come out and give us stuff to eat. We changed some of the dates, so a special meeting was conducted on September 19, which was focused on the Fibar chip distribution effort. The County asked for clarification on “Right of Entry” issues for the removal of the old chips and the spreading of the “new” chips, as well as a change of dates. So instead of the date that was originally established, we’re going to be doing this starting September 29 through October 1. If you want to come out and see us slave and do righteous stuff, come on over at 9:00. You can bring us food and pats on the back.</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
	<p><u>Member Womack</u> - or you can help us remove the chips too.</p> <p><u>Director Tornatzky</u> - I'll be there, I'm a young guy. Any questions, comments? By the way, I may not be doing this for too much longer, my stint at the Los Osos CSD runs out sometime in November or December, so you may say that's a hurrah or (unintelligible). So, I've enjoyed this relationship between the CSD and this group. I think it sort of results in better planning, better understanding in what we need to do in the future. So. And I'll take any questions, some of these are so funky, I don't know what they mean.</p> <p><u>Linde Owen</u> - I'm curious what the resolution was for the County to reimburse the cost, it's approximately \$14,000 to \$20,00 to do the switch out.</p> <p><u>Director Tornatzky</u> - (crosstalk) an in-kind contribution, so it cost maybe something out of somewhere. The County I don't think was enthusiastic about coming up with the costs, uh, and I'm not sure where all these things came from to be honest.</p> <p><u>Linde Owen</u> - I understood that it has four years left on the chips before they're considered totally waste.</p> <p><u>Director Tornatzky</u> - but there was a lot of "let's get it done." Because if you see kids playing in what's in there, it's not a happy look.</p> <p><u>Linde Owen</u> - but it's the County's obligation.</p> <p><u>Director Tornatzky</u> - so there was some money thrown in from this fund and this fund and so on.</p> <p><u>Linde Owen</u> - I know that out supervisor donated \$1,000, but I still think that the County, I would hope that the County will reimburse in four years—</p> <p><u>Director Tornatzky</u> - well, I think that the whole process that extended on a couple weeks longer than we hoped for and the fact that we're actually going to have warm bodies including some from this distinguished group actually doing some of the work, I believe that's the way to make change. So, that's just me though.</p> <p><u>Faylla Chapman</u> - we have a big function in here on Saturday morning starting at 10:00, and I want to know how much of the parking lot is going to be taken up by the stuff down there versus—</p> <p><u>Director Tornatzky</u> - I'm not sure. The parking lot is large even if you have something going on in the street (unintelligible) if people are going to come and shovel stuff, they can surely walk from their car to over here.</p> <p><u>Faylla Chapman</u> - you're not going to have any big machinery or</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
<p>• LO/BP Chamber Report</p> <p>PUBLIC COMMENT ON ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA</p>	<p>anything that you know of.</p> <p><u>Steve Best</u> - it's going to be drop-in isn't there?</p> <p><u>Linde Owen</u> - a big dumpster?</p> <p><u>Steve Best</u> - a couple of drop-ins.</p> <p><u>Linde Owen</u> - a fork lift.</p> <p><u>Faylla Chapman</u> - I'll let my people know.</p> <p>(Crosstalk.)</p> <p><u>Director Tornatzky</u> - the stuff that's replacing the old stuff is really kind of very interesting. It doesn't stink, it doesn't uh, it's very benign compared to what we had.</p> <p><u>Member Stanfill</u> - I guess what she's asking Lou, are there going to be trucks and containers to put the chips in filling up the area or how is it gonna work?</p> <p><u>Director Tornatzky</u> - I'm not exactly sure.</p> <p><u>Member Stanfill</u> - okay. We can't answer your question then.</p> <p><u>Faylla Chapman</u> - I'll let my people know there could be something down here. This is a family film festival from ten to twelve on Saturday morning for us at State Parks. So we'll see.</p> <p><u>Director Tornatzky</u> - next time I see Renee, which will probably be tomorrow, I'll raise that question.</p> <p>(Crosstalk.)</p> <p><u>Member Stanfill</u> - he says, Steve Vinson couldn't make it tonight and asked that I give the report. Mainly the thing is out Oktoberfest, fortieth annual, and we've been doing this for that long, and it's a big event in our community. So on the 28th, it's the fourth Sunday of October, and it starts at about 9:00 with our Fun Run, I guess it's about 7K, something like that (Member Womack - four miles.) And the Kiwanis have a breakfast there earlier, but then we'll start the music at about 11:00, and it goes 'till 5:00. So we pray for a beautiful, sunny day, so we sell a lot of beer and make a lot of money. That's the Oktoberfest!</p> <p>(Laughter. Crosstalk.)</p> <p><u>Meg Syfan</u> - I just have a small communication here. I'm a resident here in Los Osos. I'm here to discuss a proposal for a Class I, multi-use path on the north side of Los Osos Valley Road from Doris Avenue to</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
	<p>Palisades Avenue. I feel very strongly about this project as I frequently see on the north side of Los Osos Valley Road cyclists, parents with young children, kids walking to school, disabled people and the elderly, using this stretch of road. There are many that commute back and forth through this area, and because of the limited access due to parked cars infringing on the bike lane on south side of Los Osos Valley Road, people are using the north side in both directions. Also, the Community Center holds events frequently and cars often park in the bike lane blocking bikes and pedestrians on the north side of Los Osos Valley Road. Another hazard is the two streets that don't have left hand turn lanes off of LOVR, which are Ravenna and Broderson. As a result, when a car travelling westbound is waiting to turn left, the cars behind get backed up and it's common to see cars passing in the bike lane to get around. Along the south side of Los Osos Valley Road there are parked cars that are hazardous to anyone using the bike lane. On trash day, there are cans along the road and the trash trucks use the bike lane for pick-up, and daily mail delivery also blocks the lane. I believe a multi-use path on the north side of Los Osos Valley Road would be a huge asset to the community by encouraging bike use to and from Monarch Grove Elementary, creating a safe way for pedestrians, parents with children, and the elderly to get to the Community Center and the park, providing a safe path to the grocery store and RiteAid, etc., by those who walk, ride, or use electric scooters to get there, which I see on a regular basis. Creating a connection to the existing bike lane for cyclists, which is right at the corner of Palisades and LOVR. Bringing access to parking on the south side of LOVR that would not interfere with traffic and cyclists, and the possibility of also cleaning up the corner of Doris and Los Osos Valley Road where the trees were removed several years ago. And I presented this idea to Bruce, and the Monarch Grove PTA, and several residents, and have gotten a lot of support about the idea. And I also presented to Traffic &amp; Circulation, and they encouraged me to meet the Bicycle Advisory Committee. BAC's feedback has been very supportive, but other parts of SLO County, namely Parks &amp; Rec, Planning, and Public Works are all part of the process of getting a project like this up and running. I continue to attend every BAC meeting since November of last year, and during the last meeting in August, it was suggested that I meet with LOCAC to see if this could possibly be included in the Community Plan. The BAC also asked that I meet with the Department of SLO County, the departments I mentioned earlier, to get their specific of the project, and I've been asked to attend the November BAC to report the response I get from both LOCAC and the County. So I thank you for allowing me the time to present this and I would love to see feedback.</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - it is in the Community Plan to have a Class I bike lane trail on Los Osos Valley Road.</p> <p><u>Meg Syfan</u> - okay, that was not my understanding. My understanding was it was a Class II bike path which is currently existing, that after the sewer parking was allowed, and so we're trying to—my impression was they were trying to eliminate parking so that the bike lane could be used</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
	<p>again. But that's a Class II bike path.</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - well in the Plan, it's proposed as a Class I, I mean, that's not what it is right now obviously.</p> <p><u>Meg Syfan</u> - on both sides?</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - not on both sides, just north of Los Osos Valley Road.</p> <p><u>Meg Syfan</u> - on the north side?</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - a detached trail almost, yeah.</p> <p><u>Meg Syfan</u> - on the north side of Los Osos Valley Road, is in the Community Plan?</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - yes.</p> <p><u>Supervisor Gibson</u> - in the draft, in the draft of the Community Plan.</p> <p>(Crosstalk.)</p> <p><u>Meg Syfan</u> - that's news to me.</p> <p><u>Member Sarrouf</u> - between which streets?</p> <p><u>Meg Syfan</u> - because I've been told—</p> <p><u>Member Sarrouf</u> - in the same area she's been talking about? Between Doris and Palisades? Does it extend further?</p> <p>(Crosstalk.)</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - there's a map.</p> <p><u>Vice Chairperson Mayfield</u> - there's a link to the Community Plan on the LOCAC website, so you could go in there.</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - it's on the County Planning website too. I can email it to you.</p> <p><u>Meg Syfan</u> - okay, that'd be great. The difficulty I've been having, when I've been presenting this, the feedback I'm getting is that it's not in the plan.</p> <p>(Crosstalk.)</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - okay, well we'll clarify that.</p> <p><u>Supervisor Gibson</u> - we'll clarify that, and, I think we might be, with the Community Plan, we might be a step ahead of the County Bikeway Plan.</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
	<p><u>Planner Brown</u> - we're trying to be together with the County Bikeway Plan.</p> <p><u>Meg Syfan</u> - okay.</p> <p><u>Supervisor Gibson</u> - what I was going to offer is our office helping you getting connected with the right people.</p> <p><u>Meg Syfan</u> - thank you.</p> <p><u>Supervisor Gibson</u> - Kerry Brown is the right person in Planning and we will help you get connected to the right people in Public Works and the Parks Department. And that's easy to do.</p> <p>(Crosstalk.)</p> <p><u>Supervisor Gibson</u> - after your first presentation, I checked with Kerry and if we weren't there already it got in then, but that's the plan. That's not a trail, that a plan. We're working forward on that. I'll tell you that all of you that are interested in things like bike paths, vote no on Proposition 6 come November. That's the effort to repeal SB1, which is going to take \$5 billion away from transportation projects including bike paths, congestion relief, and road safety, and road resurfacing projects. It's going to take away eight to eleven—depending on how you count it—\$8 to \$11 million out of the County, of funds that we are receiving right now. So educate yourself on Prop 6, a very important source of funding for these kinds of projects.</p> <p><u>Member Womack</u> - so that was kind of my question because I love that idea. But the funding piece of it, so then we'd be hoping that this passes and we'd get State funding?</p> <p><u>Supervisor Gibson</u> - well, so projects like this are really, really challenging. We've been working for 20 years to get the Bob Jones City to Sea Bikeway established between San Luis Obispo and Avila Beach. Now that's 12 or 13 miles of trail. Transportation funding has shrunk as fuel economy on cars has gotten better and as the gas tax hasn't been changed in years, and years, and years; had not been changed in years, and years, and years, and so the amount of money being able to go to these projects has contracted. And we struggle to fund bike paths. But the first good step is to get them on a plan and indicate the community wants it. From there, the funding comes from a bunch of different pots. And it may take a while to assemble, but it's a great idea, it's one that I'm certainly a big advocate of to do what we can to work it through the process. The first thing we need to do is get to the point where we can figure out what it's going to cost to establish it. And that's a step in itself. And so know that getting it into the Community Plan is the first step in the planning to do that.</p> <p><u>Meg Syfan</u> - I also just went to the SLOCOG meeting yesterday and they were speaking about different grants and things that you can apply for. There really is a lot of different sources for funding.</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
	<p><u>Supervisor Gibson</u> - there are. And it's highly competitive and (unintelligible) community saying, we really want this. There's a pot of money existing now that I hope will remain which is for safe routes to school. And that would be a very good project.</p> <p><u>Meg Syfan</u> - fabulous, thank you.</p> <p><u>Member Sarrouf</u> - I'm as public now. I have a question. Because where I—I myself and neighbors, our kids have no safe route to get to the Middle School, we're over by the Van Beurden buildings on the other side of Los Osos Valley Road, and so I don't know if over the top of your head if there's something, when you cross that cross walk, like you're going to Ralph's or something, you have to turn left, otherwise, if we wanted—when I try to walk my kids to school—it's just like dirt with oncoming traffic coming this way and they we'll have to sneak back behind the apartment buildings. So I don't know. Even if there was some kind of barrier, or something, so we could walk—I don't know if that's in the Community Plan or—</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - I think there actually is a detached trail along South Bay Boulevard in the Plan. I'll look at my circulation chapter tomorrow and I'll let you know.</p> <p><u>Member Sarrouf</u> - I know, it's a lot of information.</p> <p><u>Planner Brown</u> - that was something—the Middle School is right there and yeah, we want to—</p> <p><u>Member Sarrouf</u> - you just can't walk there, really. Thanks.</p> <p><u>Linde Owen</u> - again, this vision is—I think everybody would love to see it but, I wanted to ask, the idea of decomposed granite, which is what our walkway all the way on Santa Ysabel is made of, it's held up quite well. I don't know what the expense was, but it's far more environmentally preferable than asphalt. And it could be built in the right -of-way, with County blessings, and I wonder if there is something that could move forward a little faster. I mean, I'm still waiting for this tiny section here, so that people do not have to walk in the street and around parked cars. I don't know what the hang-up is, and if there's some way that we could do a coordinated effort to raise money to buy the material, to get donated, access to what it would take to put in some of these sections of walkways. That is just throwing that out. But my other comment that I really wanted to speak to: We just had the approval of four cell antennas built up on telephone poles and as far as everybody's concerned there are, that I keep talking to, that if you don't have health issues from cell radiation, they're wonderful, because you're going to be able to do more on your apparatuses and stuff. But the point is, this 4G technology that was approved, is going to be switching to 5G. And that's kind of the secret, that I think that</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
	<p>I wish that this whole community would wake up to, learning a little bit about moving, trying to bring stuff forward, but for instance, Mill Valley has put in an urgency ordinance to regulate small cell towers because the telephone companies want to grow their 5G networks, and they're trying to get that equipment installed. The 5G technology, which is AT&amp;T and Verizon, they're the two biggies now in telecommunications stuff with their cell towers, they're bragging, that they plan to have this roll-out done in the next couple of years. So it's coming, it's very stealth as far as I'm concerned. To say that you're putting in 4G technology, which is enough radiation to make many people ill. But, to be able to transfer it over to a 5G in the near future is what I wish that we could think outside the box a little for. Symptoms can include, and I want to preface this with, the fire stations throughout the county and throughout the state of California, as far as I know, have a regulation that they cannot have cell tower installations on or near them. The reasons are—symptoms include fatigue, headaches, sleep deprivation, anxiety, heart problems, learning and memory disorders, ringing in the ears, increased cancer risk. Now if the fire departments are exempt, why is the residential area not exempt. What we're seeing is—</p> <p>(Ms. Owen is reminded that her time is over three minutes.)</p> <p><u>Linde Owen</u> - I'll just wrap it up, what we're seeing is a change, is cell tower, the ordinance, the ordinance, and that's why I asked Kerry what the revision, when it was revised. Cell towers are on private property, and that's one thing. These are going in the right-of-ways that the County owns, and we the community own. And they're going on existing poles. So that's a difference, keep your ears open. This should be something that the community and County talk about. Thank you.</p> <p><u>Steve Best</u> - I'm going to go back to the trail issue. I really applaud the idea of bringing the trail along Los Osos Valley Road. And it—more than just a trail—it's something that would beautify the town, someplace where (unintelligible) could walk and walk the dog (unintelligible) and family and make it a real thorough path a thorough-way for families and so forth. But I thought it was, that had that—in our planning of that, continue all the way from Palisades from the existing walkway here, Palisades all the way to the multi-purpose trails that are at Monarch Development there, Monarch Grove. Take it all the way up to the access to—it is at least as far as Cabrillo Estates, here, here on the way to Montaña de Oro from that point. And I do believe that it's really important that this would be the first and one of the most important multi-purpose trails, but we should also think about having a multi-purpose trail system throughout the entire town, one that would take us back on the other side of Highland, all the way down South Bay Boulevard, carried all through from here to Sweet Springs over to Skyline there, so that we could get around to Monarch Grove. We should have a network that will allow the citizens of this community, the tourists to come to this community to spend money (unintelligible) for our kids to get to and from school, for our seniors to get out of their homes to get exercise, for families to go out to have a day</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
	<p>for people to just have a place to go for a walk, to have a contiguous trail, multi-purpose trail system, and I think that this is (unintelligible) the first and the most important link of that whole process and we should (unintelligible) one of the most important parts of the Community Plan. It would bring a lot of interest and important improvements to the health and the quality and integrity to this community.</p> <p><u>Member Harper</u> - I just wanted to thank Meg. We get a lot of information, like, you know, what would be wonderful here. Meg has taken the time in the last six to eight months to go to the BAC, to go to many places, so thank you Meg. (Thank yous from the room.) And you know this is a great start. Steve has great ideas too. The bottom line is, you have to have a body that pushes, pushes, pushes. LOCAC does back projects. It takes an individual to do that. Thank you Meg.</p> <p>(Applause.)</p> <p><u>Jen Miller</u> - says she is here representing the Healthy Communities work group, a community group of members volunteering representing various organizations, including Public Health, the San Luis Obispo Council of Governments, the Air Pollution Control District, the Health Commission, the Environmental Health Department, the City of SLO Planning Commission, the County Planning Department, CalTrans, HomeShareSLO and CAPSLO. Healthy Communities is a sub-committee of Heal SLO, the County Obesity Prevention Coalition, whose mission is to increase healthy eating and regular physical activity, through policy, behavioral, and environmental changes. Healthy Communities has been meeting since 2002, sorry, 2012, and our focus is the impact of environment on health. Our group reviews land development projects that come to County Planning commenting on health impacts that we identify. In recent years, our group has also began collaborating with local jurisdictions, providing comments on proposed projects and general plan updates, although we haven't looked at the Los Osos Community Plan. This has been a really interesting conversation. Our group has identified housing as a significant need locally. Safe, affordable, adequate housing is the cornerstone of a healthy community. Housing enables people to build roots in a community, to get to know their neighborhoods, build a social network of support and become civically engaged. When housing is not affordable and stable, people are often forced to choose between paying rent or other expenses, such as food or medical care. Next Thursday, a week from today on October 4, from 2:30 to 7:30, Healthy Communities will be hosting a free, community workshop called "Health and Housing: Building the Connection." We will have a keynote speaker from ChangeLab Solutions. I don't have enough flyers for everyone. She will be speaking for the first portion, and then we'll have a panel of seven local individuals who will be commenting on housing from a variety of perspectives. Somebody from—well, it's on the back. Folks from People's Self Help Housing, Home Builders Association of the Central Coast, Habitat for Humanity, HASLO, Regional Planning, so, lots of folks. It will be a moderated</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
<p>CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS, INCLUDING LOCAC REPORTS</p>	<p>conversation I know you talked a lot about land use today, in terms of bike paths. This will be more of a housing focus. There are ten seats left we've capped it at 150, we have about 140 seats. So if it's something you're interested in, there is a link on the flyer and you can sign up online. And it's free. And it's really, it's geared towards people who, um, like you, and also Planning Commissioners and city planners.</p> <p><u>Dave Bowlus</u> - are my ears not working, or did you say 2:30 to 7:30?</p> <p><u>Jen Miller</u> - it's one to six, I'm sorry , it's one to six. Thank you.</p> <p><u>Faylla Chapman</u> - who's putting this on?</p> <p><u>Jen Miller</u> - it's the Healthy Communities work group of Heal SLO, which is the Healthy Eating Active Living Coalition that has a multi-disciplinary approach. It's a bunch of different departments within the County. It's a lot of community-based organizations, it's a lot of—gosh—community members that are really fired up about this stuff.</p> <p><u>Vice-Chairperson Mayfield</u> - Consent Agenda items and acceptance of the Treasurer's Report.</p> <p><u>Member Womack</u> - I didn't give you a Treasurer's Report. So when I pick up the bank statement, it's old, and the new bank statement will come next week. We have \$184, but I need to pay rent and we also have a Chamber membership due, and so I've just asked for funding. But we currently have \$184.25. (Unidentified person asks, does that include what's in the jar? Laughter.) Well, this goes to the Community Center and we do ask that—you know, they really do give us a good rate, so we ask for donations. We just hand it over.</p> <p><b>LOCAC voted to recommend acceptance of the Treasurer's Report and the minutes from July and August. In favor - 9, opposed - 0, no abstentions. (9-0-0), two members absent.</b></p> <p><u>Vice-Chairperson Mayfield</u> - so now we move to the one item, election of the new LOCAC Chair. So, Larry decided he no longer wishes to be Chair, and he will remain on LOCAC. And everybody has agreed that David Harris is, would be an excellent prospect.</p> <p><u>Member Stanfill</u> - and more importantly, he's agreed! (Laughter.) So we need a vote.</p> <p><b>LOCAC voted for David Harris to be the new LOCAC Chairperson. In favor - 9, opposed - 0, no abstentions. (9-0-0), two members absent.</b></p> <p><u>Member Sarrouf</u> - asks if we could have an Outreach update.</p> <p><u>Chairperson Harris</u> - the first thing I'd like to do is step down from the Chair of the Outreach Committee and let Sandra take that position. Do</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
	<p>you still want it?</p> <p><u>Member Sarrouf</u> - yeah. (Laughter from the room.) Do we have to vote on that?</p> <p><u>Member Stanfill</u> - no, it's automatic, he's appointed you.</p> <p><u>Member Sarrouf</u> - can I talk a little bit about Outreach? We've met a few times and all of you—well I don't know if everybody body has, but if you haven't, we're using MailChimp to get out the info and news about what's going on. So to the LOCAC members, I sent one out to everybody, which I hope you can forward on. The cool thing about MailChimp is, I can really see who's looking at what we send out, who opens it, how many clicks we get. So we have 192 subscribers in the September update I just sent out; 99 people actually opened it out of the 192, so that's 50%, which isn't bad. And then, there were more that were shared and clicked. So the whole goal of this, is we've heard over (unintelligible) how do we get this information, how do we inform people of what's going on. So this is our goal, is to create a system that can get the word out to people but we need all of you then to forward that on so people can sign up. So, if you haven't gotten it and you want to sign up, you can come to me or just go to the LOCAC website and you can click on the MailChimp, what does it say?</p> <p><u>Chairperson Harris</u> - it says click here to get on the mailing list.</p> <p><u>Member Sarrouf</u> - and so it will be for any hot issues that are coming up, or just a monthly update. That's about it.</p> <p><u>Linde Owen</u> - it just comes in on my email as Sandra. Because I get like 40—</p> <p><u>Member Sarrouf</u> - oh, you know, somebody emailed me. I can't change whose it's coming from, because it's coming from my email, but in the subject, I always put LOCAC.</p> <p><u>Linde Owen</u> - it couldn't be Sandra-slash-LOCAC?</p> <p><u>Member Sarrouf</u> - I can try, but it's like the automatic name that, 'cause it's registered to my email. I can try, but I know at least I can do LOCAC in the subject line, so at least you'll see LOCAC.</p> <p><u>Member Harper</u> - do we need, each of the LOCAC people have to go to MailChimp too to get the mail or are you sending it out to us too?</p> <p><u>Member Sarrouf</u> - no, you guys are all on it. If you got that last notice—</p> <p><u>Member Harper</u> - I didn't.</p> <p><u>Member Sarrouf</u> - so maybe it's in your junk mail, no but I have you. You're on the list. So check your junk mail and then forward it out. If</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion/Action/Information
<p><u>ADJOURN</u></p>	<p>we can get by the next meeting like 250 subscribers, that would be awesome. We have 192 now, so get our friends and neighbors involved, so they can say, oh, I know what's going on!</p> <p>Several LOCAC members thank Member Sarrouf for doing the work.</p> <p>Meeting adjourned 8:17 p.m..</p> <p><b>The next meeting is October 25, 2018.</b></p> <p>Respectfully submitted,</p> <p><i>Lynette Tornatzky</i></p> <p>Lynette Tornatzky LOCAC Secretary</p>